



Bureau Veritas Certification France  
1 Place Zaha Hadid  
92400 Courbevoie

## Verification report of Greenhouse Gases emissions scope 3

### Request, Responsibilities and Independence

Following the request made to us by Amphenol, we have verified environmental indicators related to the greenhouse gases (GHG) emissions scope 3 for Amphenol.

It is the responsibility of Amphenol to prepare and report this information in accordance with Amphenol's reporting procedures, hereinafter referred to as "the reporting procedures".

It is our responsibility to audit the reporting process and this information so that we can formulate an opinion.

We conducted our audit work in an impartial and independent manner, in accordance with the professional practices of the independent third party, based notably on the principles of ISO 14065 and in application of the Code of Ethics applied by all parties involved in Bureau Veritas Certification's work.

This Assurance Statement applies to the related information included within the scope of work described below.

Bureau Veritas Certification's responsibilities were to:

- obtain limited assurance about whether the Selected Information has been prepared in accordance with the Reporting Criteria.
- form an independent conclusion based on the assurance procedures performed and evidence obtained; and
- report our conclusions to the management of Amphenol.

### Nature and scope of work

The scope of our work covered the following indicators reported by Amphenol for the period 1st January to 31st December 2024 and related to the Green House Gas (GHG) emissions scope 3 (the 'Selected Information'):

- GHG emissions scope 3: 4 369 729 t CO<sub>2</sub> eq

The scope of the reporting covers all worldwide activities for which there exists a physical manufacturing location that the company has operational control over, regardless of whether the facility is leased or owned. Manufacturing includes any added-value activity which is not strictly warehousing or sales offices.

In order to issue a limited assurance opinion on this information, we performed our audit work in accordance with our internal methodology, for the verification of data, in particular:

- ✓ we have taken note of the scope of consolidation to be considered for the preparation of those indicators. We checked that those indicators cover all the companies included in the scope of consolidation specified;
- ✓ we gathered the information required for an understanding of the Company's activities, the context in which the Company operates, and the environmental consequences of its activities;

In detail, our audit work was as follows:

- ✓ we ensured that the Company has put in place collection processes aimed at ensuring the reliability and the consistency of the indicators reported. We examined the "reporting procedures" with regard to their relevance, understandability and completeness and, where applicable, taking into account good professional practices;
- ✓ we identified the persons within the Company who are in charge of all or part of the reporting process and we conducted interviews with some of these persons;
- ✓ we inquired about the existence of internal control and risk management procedures set up by the Company;



- ✓ we assessed, on a sample basis, the implementation of the “reporting procedures”, in particular the processes for collecting, compiling, processing and auditing information;
- ✓ In order to verify the indicators, we:
  - selected a sample of contributing entities within the scope of consolidation, based on their activity, their contribution to the Company’s consolidated data, their location and the results of work carried out during the previous year,
  - Audited the corporate teams in charge of scope 3, including purchasing, which is contributing to close to 90% of scope 3 total emissions (remote audit)
  - performed on site audits<sup>1</sup> and remote audits<sup>2</sup>,
    - the sample thus formed for our audit work represents 52% of scope 3 GHG emissions
  - carried out detailed tests on a sample basis checking the correct application of “reporting procedures”, reconciling data with supporting documents, checking calculations and the consistency of results.

We also tested the robustness of the data verification process carried out by the team in charge of consolidation, in particular by performing sample checks on the verification of various data, by ensuring the proper application of the verification process in place and the traceability of these internal verifications and the action taken if any discrepancies were identified.

## Reporting criteria

Amphenol has written and implemented a guidance to provide instructions for reporting on the ENVIRONMENT & GOVERNANCE indicators used within Amphenol’s Sustainable Development Reporting System (SDRS). The key procedures are “Corporate Sustainability Reporting - Guidance for Measuring and Reporting - Part 1 – Environment & Governance” and “Supplier upload template guidance”.

## Limitations and exclusions

Excluded from the scope of our work is verification of any information relating to:

- All categories of the GHG protocol are included, except the following, which were discarded because of lack of data availability and/or non-material contribution:
  - 3.8: upstream leased assets; it has been assessed and found to be not relevant
  - 3.10: Processing of sold products; it has been assessed and found to be not relevant
  - 3.11: Use of sold product; it has not yet been assessed.

---

<sup>1</sup> **USA (Wallingford HQ Site)**; Amphenol Aerospace Operations AAO Sydney; Amphenol- Etters- FCI USA LLC; Halo Technology Limited (HTL)(Tustin); Amphenol Advanced Sensors ADVS Freemont; Amphenol Printed circuits APC Nashua); **India** (; Amphenol Interconnect India Pvt. Ltd. All Pune); **Indonesia** (PT CASCO SEA); **Germany** (Konfektion Elektronik GmbH, Marktustenu; FTG Friedrich Goehring Elektrotechnik GmbH (Triburg)); (FEP Fahrzeugelektrik Pirna GmbH & Co. KG); **Slovakia** (Cemm Thome SK (CT) & Amphenol Automotive Technology (AAT) (Presov)); **China** (Hangzhou Amphenol Phoenix Telecom Parts. Co. Ltd; Amphenol FCI Connectors Dongguan Ltd; Guangzhou Amphenol Sincere FlexCircuit Co., Ltd; Amphenol Mobile Connector Solutions AMCS (Changzhou) Co., Ltd; Amphenol Highspeed Products; Shanghai Amphenol Airwave Communication Electronics Co., Ltd.); Hangzhou Amphenol JET Interconnect Technology Co., Ltd.; Amphenol FCI NanTong Ltd.; Amphenol JET (Haiyan) Interconnector Technology Co. Ltd; Amphenol Airwave (Haiyan) Communication Electronic Co., Ltd.); **South Korea** (ADVS - Amphenol Sensing Korea Company Limited);

<sup>2</sup> **China** (Amphenol East Asia Electronics Technology (Shenzhen) Co. Ltd. (ASCA); Amphenol High Speed IO Connectors (HSIO); Amphenol Commercial Products (Chengdu) Co. Ltd.; Amphenol Kai Jack (Shenzhen) Co. Ltd; Amphenol Technology (Zhuhai) Co., Ltd; Amphenol Goldstar Electronic Systems Co., Ltd; Amphenol PCD-Shenzhen (APCD-SZ)); Amphenol Automotive Connection Systems (Changzhou) Co., Ltd; mphenol Phoenix (Anji) Telecom Parts Co., Ltd (Huzhou); **Mexico** (Amphenol Optimize (Gran Azteca) – AAO); **Malaysia** (Amphenol FCI Senai); **USA** (Amphenol Advanced Sensors ADVS ST. Mary’s; Amphenol RF, Danbury, CT, USA; Amphenol-Charles Industries (ACI); **Czech Republic** (SSI Technologies (SSI-CZ)) ; **USA** (SSI Technologies (SSI-USA)); **India** (Amphenol FCI OEN Connectors Ltd, Kochi);



- 3.12: End of life treatment of sold products; it has not yet been assessed.
- 3.13: Downstream leased assets; it has been calculated but found to be not relevant
- 3.14: franchises; it has been assessed and found to be not relevant
- 3.15: investments; it has been assessed and found to be not relevant

In agreement with the Client, it was assumed that the monetary values in corporate tools were accurate, as they are audited as part of corporate financial reporting.

This limited assurance engagement relies on a risk-based selected sample of data and the associated limitations that this entails. This independent statement should not be relied upon to detect all errors, omissions or misstatements that may exist. Our verification is risk-based, drawing on an understanding of the risks associated with calculating GHG emission and the controls in place to mitigate these risks. Our examination included assessment, on a limited sample basis, of evidence relevant to the reporting of emission information.

### Observations on reporting procedures or the content of the information

Without calling into question the conclusions below, we make the following observations:

Some findings related to scopes 3 and identified during the audit were not closed but none of those was deemed significant. They cannot be easily summarized here.

Important note: reporting on scope 3.1 (purchasing) represents close to 90% of overall scope 3 emissions. Amphenol is mostly using monetary terms to report category 3.1 (purchasing) of scope 3. Associated emission factors are far less accurate than those related to purchase by weight. Amphenol are working to improve the share of mass-based reporting versus monetary-based, but this is only relevant to non-complex products (eg purchasing of plastics or metals)

We raised 3 main improvement opportunities:

1. Improve processes, including verification, for appropriate category allocation for category 3.1 (Gensuite)
2. Increase the share of mass-based reporting of purchasing
3. Increase the share of reporting of transport using data from logistic suppliers and tons.km

The increase in Scope 3 CO2 emissions compared to the previous is ,according to Amphenol, due to: Revenue increased which has led to an increase in spend on goods, services and capital investment. In addition to methodological changes to increase accuracy (i.e. collecting weights for metals and resins and applying a weight based emission factor as well as, transport emissions as function of distance and weight).

### Conclusion

On the basis of our methodology and the activities described above, nothing has come to our attention to indicate that the Selected Information has not been prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the Reporting Criteria.

Courbevoie, July,15, 2025  
For Bureau Veritas Certification France

**Samuel DUPRIEU - Président**